

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/766,727	01/22/2001	Paul Foster	21300.105003 1307		
²⁰⁷⁸⁶ KING & SPAI	7590 07/20/2007 DING LLP		EXAMINER		
1180 PEACHT	REE STREET	HAMILTON, LALITA M			
ATLANTA, G	A 30309-3521		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3691		
		•		***	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/20/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application	Application No. Applicant(s)						
Office Action Summary		09/766,727	,	FOSTER ET AL.					
		Examiner	,	Art Unit					
		Lalita M. Ha	amilton	3691					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status			•						
1)[🔀]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on April	1 13. 2007.							
•	his action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
3)									
,	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims									
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-3,6,10,11,15-25 and 27-31</u> is/are po	ending in the	e application.						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3, 6, 10-11, 15-25, 27-31</u> is/are rejected.								
) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.									
Applicat	ion Papers	·							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:									
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).									
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
Attachmer	nt(s)			,					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)									
· ===	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)			er No(s)/Mail Date ce of Informal Patent Application					
	Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:								

Application/Control Number: 09/766,727

Art Unit: 3691

DETAILED ACTION

On January 16. 2007, a Restriction Requirement was sent to the Applicant. On April 13, 2007, the Applicant responded with arguments.

Election/Restrictions

The restriction requirement has been withdrawn. All pending claims will be examined.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1-3, 6, 10-11, 15-25, and 27-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ruben (7,143,048) in view of Florance (6,871,140).

Ruben discloses the invention substantially as claimed (col.3, line 20 to col.4, line 50); however, Ruben does not disclose the use of comparables, selecting a site visit agents, or a call center. Florance teaches a method and corresponding system for

Art Unit: 3691

information in connection with real estate comprising the use of comparables, selecting a site visit agent, and a call center (col.52, line 25 to col.53, line 45 and col.60, line 53 to col.62, line 26). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Florance into the invention disclosed by Ruben, to provide the user with comparisons and means to make the best selection possible.

Although the Examiner has pointed out particular references contained in the prior art(s) of record in the body of this action, the specified citations are merely representative of the teachings in the art as applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim. Since other passages and figures may apply to the claimed invention as well, it is respectfully requested that the applicant, in preparing the response, to consider fully the entire references as potentially teaching all of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior arts or disclosed by the Examiner.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3, 6, 10-11, 15-25, and 27-31 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lalita M. Hamilton whose telephone number is (571) 272-6743. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Thursday (6:30-2:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kalinowski Alexander can be reached on (571) 272-6771. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

LALITA IVI. MAIVILLIONI PRIMARY EXAMINER